Bullous Pemphigoid in Two Children
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Abstract

Observation: Bullous pemphigoid is an autoimmune subepidermal blistering disease that most commonly arise in older adults. Bullous pemphigoid is extremely rare in children [1]. Over 50 cases of childhood BP have been described [2]. Clinical features of childhood bullous pemphigoid are similar to those of adult BP but involvement of mucous membrane, palm and soles are more common in childhood BP [3]. There appears to be no predilection for race or gender [3, 4]. Diagnosis is made on clinical, histological and immunofluorescence features. Histopathologic findings include subepidermal blisters as well as eosinophilic dermal infiltrations. Direct immunofluorescence shows linear deposition of IgG and C3 at the basement membrane zone [5, 6]. Systemic corticosteroid therapy is the treatment of choice in childhood BP [3]. In limited disease responses to topical corticosteroid therapy. Aside from corticosteroid, sulfapyridine and dapsone have often been used in childhood BP as sole agents or in combination with corticosteroid, with variable success [7]. We decided to report these two cases because BP is

Figure 1. Skin examination of infant showed diffuse erythematous plaques and blisters on her chest, back and extremities
a very rare childhood disease and differs from classic BP in some clinical features and prognosis.

**Case 1**

The patient is a 6-month girl admitted to the hospital because of diffuse erythematous plaques and blisters of 2 month duration. She was born after normal pregnancy. Mother was healthy. When patient admitted to hospital she had infected blisters and suffered from diarrhea. There weren’t any history of vaccination or drug use before blisters. Skin examination of infant showed diffuse erythematous plaques and blisters on her chest, back and extremities (Figure 1). Mucous membrane was spared of lesions. Nikolsky’s sign was negative. There were palmoplantar plaques and blisters also. Laboratory tests were normal except mild leukocytosis of 12.900 with 32% neutrophil. Skin biopsy specimen showed a subepidermal bulla, eosinophil infiltrates in papillary dermis and spongiosis and neutrophil in epidermis. Direct immunofluorescence (DIF) test demonstrated linear IgG strongly but IgA and C3 mildly along the basement membrane zone (Figure 2). Oral corticosteroid treatment was initiated with methylprednisolone 10mg/day. There was clearing of blisters but when dose decreased to 5mg/day blisters occurred again.

**Case 2**

Patient is a 6-year old boy admitted to the hospital because of diffuse erythematous plaques and blisters of 6 month duration. Parents are healthy. When patient admitted to hospital lesions were very itchy, erythematous and bullous. There weren’t any history of vaccination, drug or chronic disease. Skin examination of patient showed diffuse urticaria like erythematous plaques blisters on his chest, back, extremities and face (Figures 3 and 4).
4). Mucous membrane was spared of lesions. There were two ulcers on his wrists bilaterally. One was 3x2cm in diameter on his right wrist and the other was 2x2cm in diameter on his left wrist. Nikolsky’s sign was negative. All laboratory tests were normal. Skin biopsy specimen showed a subepidermal bulla. DIF test demonstrated linear IgG, IgA and C3c along the basement membrane zone (Figure 5). Oral corticosteroid treatment was initiated with methylprednisolone 20mg/day. Lesions were healed but when dose decreased lesions activated again. Then dapsone 25mg/day started. Skin spared of blisters but sometimes urticaria like plaques occurs again.

Discussion

BP is characterized by large, tense blisters arising on normal or erythematous skin. BP has been reported to develop autoimmune process, after vaccination, drug intake and following several inflammatory skin diseases. Etiology of childhood BP is also unknown. However, drug intake and vaccination have been incriminated in some cases [8]. Baykal et al. reported a case who is 3.5-old boy presented generalized blisters 24 hours after the first tetracoq vaccine (diphtheria, tetanus whooping cough-poliomyelitis) [9]. In our cases there was no vaccinations history. Mucous membrane involvement is about 10-35%. Sites of predilection are lower abdomen, inner thighs, flexor forearms or generalized. Blisters may have clear or hemorrhagic fluid. Nikolsky’s sign is negative. There may be mild or moderate pruritus and early lesions tend to look like urticarial [10]. In our patients blisters generally arose on erythematous skin, there wasn’t mucous membrane involvement and Nikolsky’s sign was negative. Childhood BP shows similar clinical and histopathological features to adult form but differ in some aspects. Mucous membrane and palmoplantar involvement are seen in childhood BP more than adult BP [6]. A characteristic feature of childhood BP is the marked involvement of palms and soles described in infants under 1 years of age [6]. Oranje reported mucosal findings in almost 50% of patients studied but Nemeth found mucosal involvement 72% of children [11, 12]. The majority of patients with genital involvement are girls and their disease is limited to genital area [7]. Kawachi and et al. demonstrated a strong eosinophilocholy activity in blister fluid from BP lesions, which should responsible for the eosinophilia observed in these patients [13]. In our cases there weren’t eosinophilia but there was eosinophil infiltrate in dermis and bulla in 6 month infant case. BP blood IgE level may be high. Immunofluorescence testing is necessary for distinguishing BP from other bullous diseases. Zinman et al. study showed that DIF testing shows IgG about 95%, C3 about 95%, IgM about 10% and IgA about 12% [7]. In our cases there were IgG, IgA and C3 involvement. This study also showed acral distribution is about 79%, facial distribution about 62%, generalized involvement 59%, mucosal involvement 15% and genital involvement about 5% [7]. In our case there were generalized involvements but spared mucosal areas. Systemic corticosteroid therapy is the treatment of choice in childhood BP [3]. Limited form of BP revealed with topical corticosteroid therapy. Aside from corticosteroid, sulfapyridine and dapsone have often been used in childhood BP as sole agents or in combination with corticosteroid, with variable success [7]. Zinman and et al. compared rate of response in treatment with systemic steroids response rate is 85%, with dapsone 25%, sulfapyridine 13% and mycophenolate mofetil 6% [7]. The disease has a good prognosis and remission is achieved within several weeks to a few months [7].

BP should be in mind when a child with erythematous plaques, vesicles and blisters on the palm, soles and body. Childhood BP is similar to adult BP but differs in some features such as palm, soles, mucosal and genital involvement. Treatment may include local and systemic steroid with or without combination with dapsone, sulfapyridine and mycophenolate mofetil.
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